## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United S	States of America,	)	Case No. 25-mj-70110 MAG
	Plaintiff,	) ) )	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Lina (	Cristine Rodriguez	) .	AND WAIVER UNDER FRCP 5.1
	Defendant(s).	)	8
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on $1/31/2025$ , the court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act from $1/31/2025$ to $2/26/2035$ and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):			
	Failure to grant a continuance wou See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ld be like	ely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
	defendants, the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonable to ex	e prosecu spect ade	o [check applicable reasons] the number of tion, or the existence of novel questions of fact quate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial his section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	Failure to grant a continuance wou taking into account the exercise of	ld deny t due dilig	the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, gence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	Failure to grant a continuance wou counsel's other scheduled case cor See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	nmitmen	sonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given ts, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.  See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).			
With the consent of the defendant, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, the court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first paragraph and — based on the parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for extending the 30-day time period for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the exclusions set forth above). See Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).			
IT IS SO ORDERED.			
DATE	0.23 2023		LET
	1 1		LAUREL BEELER United States Magistrate Judge
	M_		O Comment States Hindgistrate States
STIPU	LATED:		Sul Im
	Attorney for Defendant		Assistant United States Attorney